

## **PLANNING & REGULATION COMMITTEE – 1 JUNE 2020**

### **Policy Annex (Relevant Development Plan and other Policies)**

#### **Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy 2017 (OMWCS)**

##### **POLICY M2: PROVISION FOR WORKING AGGREGATE MINERALS**

Provision will be made through policies M3 and M4 to enable the supply of:

- sharp sand and gravel - 1.015 mtpa giving a total provision requirement of 18.270 million tonnes
- soft sand - 0.189 mtpa giving a total provision requirement of 3.402 million tonnes
- crushed rock - 0.584 mtpa giving a total provision requirement of 10.512 million tonnes from land-won sources within Oxfordshire for the period 2014 – 2031 inclusive.

Permission will be granted for aggregate mineral working under policy M5 to enable separate landbanks of reserves with planning permission to be maintained for the extraction of minerals of:

- at least 7 years for sharp sand and gravel;
  - at least 7 years for soft sand;
  - at least 10 years for crushed rock;
- in accordance with the annual requirement rates in the most recent Local Aggregate Assessment, taking into account the need to maintain sufficient productive capacity to enable these rates to be realised.

##### **POLICY M3: PRINCIPAL LOCATIONS FOR WORKING AGGREGATE MINERALS**

The principal locations for aggregate minerals extraction will be within the following strategic resource areas, as shown on the Policies Map:

###### **Sharp sand and gravel**

in northern Oxfordshire (Cherwell District and West Oxfordshire District):

- The Thames, Lower Windrush and Lower Evenlode Valleys area from Standlake to Yarnton;
- in southern Oxfordshire (South Oxfordshire District and Vale of White Horse District):
- The Thames and Lower Thame Valleys area from Oxford to Cholsey;
  - The Thames Valley area from Caversham to Shiplake.

###### **Soft sand**

- The Corallian Ridge area from Oxford to Faringdon;
- The Duns Tew area.

###### **Crushed rock**

- The area north west of Bicester;
- The Burford area south of the A40;
- The area east and south east of Faringdon.

Specific sites (new quarry sites and/or extensions to existing quarries) for working aggregate minerals within these strategic resource areas will be allocated in the Minerals & Waste Local Plan: Part 2 – Site Allocations Document, in accordance with policy M4.

Specific sites for extensions to existing aggregate quarries (excluding ironstone) outside the strategic resource areas may also be allocated in the Minerals & Waste Local Plan: Part 2 – Site Allocations Document provided they are in accordance with policy M4.

Sites allocated for sharp sand and gravel working (including both new quarry sites and extensions to existing quarries, including any extensions outside the strategic resource areas), to meet the requirement in policy M2 will be located such that approximately 25% of the additional tonnage requirement is in northern Oxfordshire and approximately 75% of the additional tonnage requirement is in southern Oxfordshire, to achieve an approximately equal split of production capacity for sharp sand and gravel between northern and southern Oxfordshire by 2031.

#### POLICY M5: WORKING OF AGGREGATE MINERALS

Prior to the adoption of the Minerals & Waste Local Plan: Part 2 – Site Allocations Document, permission will be granted for the working of aggregate minerals where this would contribute towards meeting the requirement for provision in policy M2 and provided that the proposal is in accordance with the locational strategy in policy M3 and that the requirements of policies C1 – C12 are met.

Permission will be granted for the working of aggregate minerals within the sites allocated further to policy M4 provided that the requirements of policies C1 – C12 are met.

Permission will not be granted for the working of aggregate minerals outside the sites allocated further to policy M4 unless the requirement to maintain a steady and adequate supply of aggregate in accordance with policy M2 cannot be met from within those sites and provided that the proposal is in accordance with the locational strategy in policy M3 and the requirements of policies C1 – C12 are met.

Permission will exceptionally be granted for the working of aggregate minerals outside the sites allocated further to policy M4 where extraction of the mineral is required prior to a planned development in order to prevent the mineral resource being sterilised, having due regard to policies C1 –C12.

Permission will exceptionally be granted for borrow pits to supply mineral to associated construction projects, having due regard to policies C1 – C12, provided that all of the following apply:

- the site lies on or in close proximity to the project area so that extracted mineral can be conveyed to its point of use with minimal use of public highways and without undue interference with footpaths and bridleways;
- the mineral extracted will only be used in connection with the project;

- it can be demonstrated that supply of the mineral from the borrow pit would have less environmental impact than if the mineral were supplied from an existing source;
- the borrow pit can be restored without the use of imported material, other than that generated by the project; and
- use of the borrow pit is limited to the life of the project.

Notwithstanding the preceding paragraphs, permission for working of ironstone for aggregate use will not be permitted except in exchange for an agreed revocation (or other appropriate mechanism to ensure the non-working) without compensation of an equivalent existing permission in Oxfordshire containing potentially workable resources of ironstone and where there would be an overall environmental benefit.

#### POLICY M10: RESTORATION OF MINERAL WORKINGS

Mineral workings shall be restored to a high standard and in a timely and phased manner to an after-use that is appropriate to the location and delivers a net gain in biodiversity. The restoration and after-use of mineral workings must take into account:

- the characteristics of the site prior to mineral working;
- the character of the surrounding landscape and the enhancement of local landscape character;
- the amenity of local communities, including opportunities to enhance green infrastructure provision and provide for local amenity uses and recreation;
- the capacity of the local transport network;
- the quality of any agricultural land affected, including the restoration of best and most versatile agricultural land;
- the conservation of soil resources
- flood risk and opportunities for increased flood storage capacity;
- the impacts on flooding and water quality of any use of imported material in the proposed restoration;
- bird strike risk and aviation safety;
- any environmental enhancement objectives for the area;
- the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity appropriate to the local area, supporting the establishment of a coherent and resilient ecological network through the landscape-scale creation of priority habitat;
- the conservation and enhancement of geodiversity;
- the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment; and
- consultation with local communities on options for after-use.

Planning permission will not be granted for mineral working unless satisfactory proposals have been made for the restoration, aftercare and after-use of the site, including where necessary the means of securing them in the longer term.

Proposals for restoration must not be likely to lead to any increase in recreational pressure on a Special Area of Conservation.

#### POLICY W1: OXFORDSHIRE WASTE TO BE MANAGED

Provision will be made for waste management facilities to provide capacity that allows Oxfordshire to be net self-sufficient in the management of its principal waste streams – municipal solid waste (or local authority collected waste), commercial and industrial waste, and construction, demolition and excavation waste – over the period to 2031.

The amounts of waste for which waste management capacity needs to be provided is as follows:

Forecasts of waste for which waste management capacity needs to be provided 2016 – 2031 (million tonnes per annum)

Waste Type	2016	2021	2026	2031
Municipal Solid Waste	0.32	0.34	0.36	0.38
Commercial and Industrial Waste	0.54	0.56	0.57	0.58

These forecasts will be kept under review and updated as necessary in the Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Annual Monitoring Reports.

Provision for facilities for hazardous waste, agricultural waste, radioactive waste and waste water/sewage sludge will be in accordance with policies W7, W8, W9 and W10 respectively.

**POLICY W2: OXFORDSHIRE WASTE MANAGEMENT TARGETS**

Provision will be made for capacity to manage the principal waste streams in a way that provides for the maximum diversion of waste from landfill, in line with the following targets:

Oxfordshire waste management targets 2016 – 2031

		Year			
		2016	2021	2026	2031
<b>MUNICIPAL WASTE</b>	Composting & food waste treatment	29%	32%	35%	35%
	Non-hazardous waste recycling	33%	33%	35%	35%
	Non-hazardous residual waste treatment	30%	30%	25%	25%

	Landfill (these percentages are not targets but are included for completeness)	8%	5%	5%	5%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL WASTE	Composting & food waste treatment	5%	5%	5%	5%
	Non-hazardous waste recycling	55%	60%	65%	65%
	Non-hazardous residual waste treatment	15%	25%	25%	25%
	Landfill (these percentages are not targets but are included for completeness)	25%	10%	5%	5%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
CONSTRUCTION, DEMOLITION & EXCAVATION WASTE	<i>Proportion of Projected Arisings taken to be Inert*</i>	80%	80%	80%	80%
	Inert waste recycling (as proportion of inert arisings)	55%	60%	65%	70%
	Permanent deposit of inert waste other than for disposal to landfill** (as proportion of inert arisings)	25%	25%	25%	25%
	Landfill (as proportion of inert arisings) (these percentages are not targets but are included for	20%	15%	10%	5%

completeness)					
Total (inert arisings)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Proportion of Projected Arisings taken to be Non- Inert*</i>	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
Composting (as proportion of non-inert arisings)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Non-hazardous waste recycling (as proportion of non-inert arisings)	55%	60%	65%	65%	65%
Non-hazardous residual waste treatment (as proportion of non-inert arisings)	15%	25%	25%	25%	25%
Landfill (as proportion of non-inert arisings) (these percentages are not targets but are included for completeness)	25%	10%	5%	5%	5%
Total (non-inert arisings)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

\* It is assumed that 20% of the CDE waste stream comprises non-inert materials (from breakdown in report by BPP Consulting on Construction, Demolition and Excavation Waste in Oxfordshire, February 2014, page 7). The subsequent targets are proportions of the inert or non-inert elements of the CDE waste stream.

\*\* This includes the use of inert waste in backfilling of mineral workings & operational development such as noise bund construction and flood defence works.

Proposals for the management of all types of waste should demonstrate that the waste cannot reasonably be managed through a process that is higher up the waste hierarchy than that proposed.

## POLICY W6: LANDFILL AND OTHER PERMANENT DEPOSIT OF WASTE TO LAND

### Non-hazardous waste

Provision for disposal of Oxfordshire's non-hazardous waste will be made at existing non-hazardous landfill facilities which will also provide for the disposal of waste from other areas (including London and Berkshire) as necessary. Further provision for the disposal of non-hazardous waste by means of landfill will not be made.

Permission may be granted to extend the life of existing non-hazardous landfill sites to allow for the continued disposal of residual non-hazardous waste to meet a recognised need and where this will allow for the satisfactory restoration of the landfill in accordance with a previously approved scheme.

Permission will be granted for facilities for the management of landfill gas and leachate where required to fulfil a regulatory requirement or to achieve overall environmental benefit, including facilities for the recovery of energy from landfill gas. Provision should be made for the removal of the facilities and restoration of the site at the end of the period of management.

### Inert waste

Provision for the permanent deposit to land or disposal to landfill of inert waste which cannot be recycled will be made at existing facilities and in sites that will be allocated in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan: Part 2 – Site Allocations Document. Provision will be made for sites with capacity sufficient for Oxfordshire to be net-self-sufficient in the management of inert waste.

Priority will be given to the use of inert waste that cannot be recycled as infill material to achieve the satisfactory restoration and after use of active or unrestored quarries. Permission will not otherwise be granted for development that involves the permanent deposit or disposal of inert waste on land unless there would be overall environmental benefit.

### General

Proposals for landfill sites shall meet the requirements of policies C1 – C12.

Landfill sites shall be restored in accordance with the requirements of policy M10 for restoration of mineral workings.

## POLICY C1: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

A positive approach will be taken to minerals and waste development in Oxfordshire, reflecting the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and the aim to improve economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.

Planning applications that accord with the policies in this plan will be approved, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Where there are no policies relevant to the application, or relevant plan policies are out of date, planning permission will be granted unless material considerations indicate otherwise, taking into account whether:

- any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of the proposed development when assessed against the National Planning Policy Framework; or
- specific policies in the National Planning Policy Framework indicate that the development should be restricted.

#### POLICY C2: CLIMATE CHANGE

Proposals for minerals or waste development, including restoration proposals, should take account of climate change for the lifetime of the development from construction through operation and decommissioning. Applications for development should adopt a low carbon approach and measures should be considered to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and provide flexibility for future adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

#### POLICY C3: FLOODING

Minerals and waste development will, wherever possible, take place in areas with the lowest probability of flooding. Where development takes place in an area of identified flood risk this should only be where alternative locations in areas of lower flood risk have been explored and discounted (using the Sequential Test and Exceptions Test as necessary) and where a flood risk assessment is able to demonstrate that the risk of flooding is not increased from any source, including:

- an impediment to the flow of floodwater;
- the displacement of floodwater and increased risk of flooding elsewhere;
- a reduction in existing floodwater storage capacity;
- an adverse effect on the functioning of existing flood defence structures; and
- the discharge of water into a watercourse.

The opportunity should be taken to increase flood storage capacity in the flood plain where possible, particularly through the restoration of sand and gravel workings.

#### POLICY C4: WATER ENVIRONMENT

Proposals for minerals and waste development will need to demonstrate that there would be no unacceptable adverse impact on or risk to:

- The quantity or quality of surface or groundwater resources required for habitats, wildlife and human activities;
- The quantity or quality of water obtained through abstraction unless acceptable provision can be made;
- The flow of groundwater at or in the vicinity of the site; and
- Waterlogged archaeological remains.



Proposals for minerals and waste development should ensure that the River Thames and other watercourses and canals of significant landscape, nature conservation, or amenity value are adequately protected from unacceptable adverse impacts.

#### POLICY C5: LOCAL ENVIRONMENT, AMENITY AND ECONOMY

Proposals for minerals and waste development shall demonstrate that they will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on:

- the local environment;
- human health and safety;
- residential amenity and other sensitive receptors; and
- the local economy;
  - including from:
    - noise;
    - dust;
    - visual intrusion;
    - light pollution;
    - traffic;
    - air quality;
    - odour;
    - vermin;
    - birds;
    - litter;
    - mud on the road;
    - vibration;
    - surface or ground contamination;
    - tip and quarry-slope stability;
    - differential settlement of quarry backfill;
    - subsidence; and
    - the cumulative impact of development.

Where necessary, appropriate separation distances or buffer zones between minerals and waste developments and occupied residential property or other sensitive receptors and/or other mitigation measures will be required, as determined on a site-specific, case-by-case basis.

#### POLICY C6: AGRICULTURAL LAND AND SOILS

Proposals for minerals and waste development shall demonstrate that they take into account the presence of any best and most versatile agricultural land.

Significant development leading to the permanent loss of best and most versatile agricultural land will only be permitted where it can be shown that there is a need for the development which cannot reasonably be met using lower grade land and where all options for reinstatement without loss of quality have been considered taking into account other relevant considerations.

Development proposals should make provision for the management and use of soils in order to maintain agricultural land quality (where appropriate) and soil quality, including making a positive contribution to the long-term conservation of soils in any restoration.

#### POLICY C7: BIODIVERSITY AND GEODIVERSITY

Minerals and waste development should conserve and, where possible, deliver a net gain in biodiversity.

The highest level of protection will be given to sites and species of international nature conservation importance (e.g. Special Areas of Conservation and European Protected Species) and development that would be likely to adversely affect them will not be permitted.

In all other cases, development that would result in significant harm will not be permitted unless the harm can be avoided, adequately mitigated or, as a last resort, compensated for to result in a net gain in biodiversity (or geodiversity). In addition:

- (i) Development that would be likely to have an adverse effect on a Site of Special Scientific Interest (either individually or in combination with other development) will not be permitted except where the benefits of the development at this site clearly outweigh both the impacts that it is likely to have on the Site of Special Scientific Interest and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest.
- (ii) Development that would result in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and aged or veteran trees, will not be permitted except where the need for and benefits of the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss.
- (iii) Development shall ensure that no significant harm would be caused to:

  - Local Nature Reserves;
  - Local Wildlife Sites;
  - Local Geology Sites;
  - Sites of Local Importance for Nature Conservation;
  - Protected, priority or notable species and habitats,

except where the need for and benefits of the development in that location clearly outweigh the harm.

All proposals for mineral working and landfill shall demonstrate how the development will make an appropriate contribution to the maintenance and enhancement of local habitats, biodiversity or geodiversity (including fossil remains and trace fossils), including contributing to the objectives of the Conservation Target Areas wherever possible. Satisfactory long-term management arrangements for restored sites shall be clearly set out and included in proposals. These should include a commitment to ecological monitoring and remediation (should habitat creation and/or mitigation prove unsuccessful).

## POLICY C8: LANDSCAPE

Proposals for minerals and waste development shall demonstrate that they respect and where possible enhance local landscape character, and are informed by landscape character assessment. Proposals shall include adequate and appropriate measures to mitigate adverse impacts on landscape, including careful siting, design and landscaping. Where significant adverse impacts cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, compensatory environmental enhancements shall be made to offset the residual landscape and visual impacts.

Great weight will be given to conserving the landscape and scenic beauty of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and high priority will be given to the enhancement of their natural beauty. Proposals for minerals and waste development within an AONB or that would significantly affect an AONB shall demonstrate that they take this into account and that they have regard to the relevant AONB Management Plan. Major developments within AONBs will not be permitted except in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated they are in the public interest, in accordance with the 'major developments test' in the NPPF (paragraph 116). Development within AONBs shall normally only be small-scale, to meet local needs and should be sensitively located and designed.

## POLICY C9: HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT AND ARCHAEOLOGY

Proposals for minerals and waste development will not be permitted unless it is demonstrated, including where necessary through prior investigation, that they or associated activities will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the historic environment.

Great weight will be given to the conservation of designated heritage assets: Blenheim Palace World Heritage Site; scheduled monuments; listed buildings; conservation areas; historic battlefields; registered parks and gardens; and non-designated archaeological assets which are demonstrably of equivalent significance to a scheduled monument; and the setting of those assets.

Where an application would affect a non-designated heritage asset, the benefits of the proposal will be balanced against the scale of harm to or loss of the heritage asset and its significance.

Where, following assessment of an application, the loss (wholly or in part) of a heritage asset is considered acceptable in principle, the applicant will be required to record and advance understanding of that asset, proportionate to the nature and level of the asset's significance, and to publish their findings.

Proposals for mineral working and landfill shall wherever possible demonstrate how the development will make an appropriate contribution to the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment.

## POLICY C10: TRANSPORT

Minerals and waste development will be expected to make provision for safe and suitable access to the advisory lorry routes shown on the Oxfordshire Lorry Route Maps in ways that maintain and, if possible, lead to improvements in:

- the safety of all road users including pedestrians;
- the efficiency and quality of the road network; and
- residential and environmental amenity, including air quality.

Where development leads to a need for improvement to the transport network to achieve this, developers will be expected to provide such improvement or make an appropriate financial contribution.

Where practicable minerals and waste developments should be located, designed and operated to enable the transport of minerals and/or waste by rail, water, pipeline or conveyor.

Where minerals and/or waste will be transported by road:

- a) mineral workings should as far as practicable be in locations that minimise the road distance to locations of demand for the mineral, using roads suitable for lorries, taking into account the distribution of potentially workable mineral resources; and
- b) waste management and recycled aggregate facilities should as far as practicable be in locations that minimise the road distance from the main source(s) of waste, using roads suitable for lorries, taking into account that some facilities are not economic or practical below a certain size and may need to serve a wider than local area.

Proposals for minerals and waste development that would generate significant amounts of traffic will be expected to be supported by a transport assessment or transport statement, as appropriate, including mitigation measures where applicable.

#### **POLICY C11: RIGHTS OF WAY**

The integrity and amenity value of the rights of way network shall be maintained and if possible it shall be retained in situ in safe and useable condition. Diversions should be safe, attractive and convenient and, if temporary, shall be reinstated as soon as possible. If permanent diversions are required, these should seek to enhance and improve the public rights of way network.

Improvements and enhancements to the rights of way network will generally be encouraged and public access sought to restored mineral workings, especially if this can be linked to wider provision of green infrastructure. Where appropriate, operators and landowners will be expected to make provision for this as part of the restoration and aftercare scheme.

#### **Vale Local Plan 2031 Part 1 (VLP1)**

#### **CORE POLICY 1: PRESUMPTION IN FAVOUR OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT**

Planning applications that accord with this Local Plan 2031 (and where relevant, with any subsequent Development Plan Documents or Neighbourhood Plans) will be approved, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise, and unless:

- i. any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole, or
- ii. specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted.

### CORE POLICY 39: HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

The Council will work with landowners, developers, the community, Historic England and other stakeholders to:

- i. ensure that new development conserves, and where possible enhances, designated heritage assets and non-designated heritage assets and their setting in accordance with national guidance and legislation<sup>1</sup>
- ii. ensure that vacant historic buildings are appropriately re-used as soon as possible to prevent deterioration of condition
- iii. seek to reduce the number of buildings on the “Heritage at Risk” Register and to aid in their protection
- iv. encourage better understanding of the significance of Scheduled Monuments on the “Heritage at Risk” Register and to aid in their protection
- v. better understanding of the significance of Conservation Areas in the district through producing Conservation Area Character Appraisals and Management Plans
- vi. identify criteria for assessing non delegated heritage assets and maintaining a list of such assets as Locally Listed Buildings, and
- vii. encourage Heritage Partnership Agreements, particularly for Listed Buildings on any ‘at risk’ register.

<sup>1</sup>Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

### CORE POLICY 42: FLOOD RISK

The risk and impact of flooding will be minimised through:

- i. directing new development in areas where the lowest probability of flooding
- ii. ensuring that all new development addresses the effective management of all sources of flood risk
- iii. ensuring that development does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere and
- iv. ensuring wider environmental benefits of development in relation to flood risk.

The suitability of development proposed in flood zones will be strictly assessed using the Sequential Test, and, where necessary, the Exceptions Test. A sequential approach should be used at site level.

A site-specific flood assessment will be required for all developments of 1 hectare or greater in Flood Zone 1 and, for all proposals for new development, including minor development and change of use in Flood Zone 2 and 3 and, in Critical Drainage Areas, and also where proposed development or a change of use to a more vulnerable class that may be subject to other forms of flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures will be required to be implemented.

All development proposals must be assessed against the Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire District Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and the Oxfordshire Local Flood Risk Management Strategy to address locally significant flooding. Appropriate mitigation and management measures must be implemented.

All development will be required to provide a drainage strategy. Developments will be expected to incorporate sustainable drainage systems and ensure that run-off rates are attenuated to greenfield run off rates. Higher rates would need to be justified and the risks quantified. Developers should strive to reduce run-off rates for existing developed sites.

Sustainable drainage systems should seek to enhance water quality and biodiversity in line with the Water Development Framework (WDF).

#### CORE POLICY 43: NATURAL RESOURCES

The Council encourages developers to make provision for the effective use of natural resources where applicable including:

- i. minimising waste and making adequate provision for the recycling of waste on site
- ii. using recycled and energy efficient materials
- iii. maximising passive solar heating, lighting, natural ventilation, energy and water efficiency and re-use of materials
- iv. making efficient use of water, for example through rainwater harvesting and grey water
- v. causing no deterioration in, and where possible, achieving improvements on water quality
- vi. takes account of, and if located within an AQMA is consistent with, the Council's Air Quality Action Plan
- vii. ensuring that the land is of a suitable quality for development and that remediation of contaminated land is undertaken where necessary
- viii. avoiding the development of the best and most versatile agricultural land, unless it is demonstrated to be the most sustainable choice from reasonable alternatives, by first using areas of poorer quality land in preference to that of a higher quality, and
- ix. re-using previously developed land, provided it is not of high environmental value.

#### CORE POLICY 44: LANDSCAPE

The key features that contribute to the nature and quality of the Vale of White Horse District's landscape will be protected from harmful development and where possible enhanced, in particular:

- i. features such as trees, hedgerows, woodland, field boundaries, watercourses and water bodies
- ii. important landscape settings of settlements
- iii. topographical features
- iv. areas or features of cultural and historic value
- v. important views and visually sensitive skylines, and
- vi. tranquillity and the need to protect against intrusion from light pollution, noise, and motion.

Where development is acceptable in principle, measures will be sought to integrate it into the landscape character and/or the townscape of the area. Proposals will need to demonstrate how they have responded to the above aspects of landscape character and will be expected to:

- vii. incorporate appropriate landscape proposals that reflect the character of the area through appropriate design and management;
- viii. preserve and promote local distinctiveness and diversity and, where practical, enhance damaged landscape areas.

High priority will be given to conservation and enhancement of the natural beauty of the North Wessex Downs AONB and planning decisions will have regard to its setting. Proposals that support the economy and social wellbeing of communities located in the AONB, including affordable housing schemes, will be encouraged, provided they do not conflict with the aims of conservation and enhancement.

#### CORE POLICY 45: GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

A net gain in Green Infrastructure, including biodiversity, will be sought either through on-site provision or off-site contributions and the targeted use of other funding sources. A net loss of Green Infrastructure, including biodiversity, through development proposals, will be resisted.

Proposals for new development must provide adequate Green Infrastructure in line with the Green Infrastructure Strategy. All major applications must be accompanied by a Statement demonstrating that they have taken into account the relationship of the proposed development to existing Green Infrastructure and how this will be retained and enhanced. Proposals will be required to contribute to the delivery of new Green Infrastructure and/or the improvement of existing assets including Conservation Target Areas in accordance with the standards in the Green Infrastructure Strategy and Habitats Regulations Assessment.

#### CORE POLICY 46: CONSERVATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF BIODIVERSITY

Development that will conserve, restore and enhance biodiversity in the district will be permitted. Opportunities for biodiversity gain, including the connection of sites, large-scale habitat restoration, enhancement and habitat re-creation will be actively sought, with a primary focus on delivery in the Conservation Target Areas. A net loss of biodiversity will be avoided.

The highest level of protection will be given to sites and species of international nature conservation importance (Special Areas of Conservation and European Protected Species) Development that is likely to result in a significant effect, either alone or in combination, on such sites and species will need to satisfy the requirements of the Habitat Regulations\*.

Development likely to result in the loss, deterioration or harm to habitats or species of importance to biodiversity or of importance for geological conservation interests, either directly or indirectly, will not be permitted unless:

- i. the need for, and benefits of, the development in the proposed location outweighs the adverse effect on the relevant biodiversity interest;
- ii. it can be demonstrated that it could not reasonably be located on an alternative site that would result in less or no harm to the biodiversity interests; and
- iii. measures can be provided (and are secured through planning conditions or legal agreements), that would avoid, mitigate against or, as a last resort, compensate for, the adverse effects likely to result from development.

The habitats and species of importance to biodiversity and sites of geological interest considered in relation to points i) to iii) comprise:

- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
- Local Wildlife Sites
- Local Nature Reserves
- Priority Habitats and species listed in the national and local Biodiversity Action Plan
- Ancient woodland and veteran trees
- Legally Protected Species
- Locally important Geological Sites

The level of protection and mitigation should be proportionate to the status of the habitat or species and its importance individually and as a part of a wider network.

It is recognised that habitats/areas not considered above (i.e. Nationally or Locally designated and not priority habitats) can still have a significant biodiversity value within their local context, particularly where they are situated within a Conservation Target Area and/or they have good potential to be restored to priority habitat status or form/have good potential to form links between priority habitats or act as corridors for priority species. These habitats will be given due weight in the consideration of planning applications. If significant harm to these sites cannot be avoided (though locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts) it will be expected that mitigation will be provided to avoid a net loss in biodiversity or, as a last resort, compensation will be required to offset the impacts and achieve a net gain in biodiversity.



\*Habitats Directive 93/43/EEC of 21 May 1992.

## **Vale of the White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 2 (VLP2)**

### **DEVELOPMENT POLICY 16: ACCESS**

All proposals for new development will be required to be of high quality design in accordance with Core Policy 37: Design and Local Distinctiveness. In addition to those criteria set out in Core Policy 37 and other relevant Local Plan policies, proposals for development will also need to provide evidence to demonstrate that:

- i. adequate provision will be made for loading, unloading, circulation, servicing and vehicle turning, and
- ii. acceptable off-site improvements to the highway infrastructure (including traffic management measures), cycleways, public rights of way and the public transport network can be secured where these are not adequate to service the development.

### **DEVELOPMENT POLICY 17: TRANSPORT ASSESSMENTS AND TRAVEL PLANS**

Proposals for major development will need to be supported by a Transport Assessment or Statement and Travel Plan in accordance with Oxfordshire County Council guidance, including their Walking and Cycling Design Standards, and the latest National Planning Practice Guidance. The scope of the assessment should be agreed with the county council as highway authority, in association with the district council as the planning authority. Highways England should also be consulted as appropriate, in accordance with Highways England guidance.

The Transport Assessment and Travel Plan should consider opportunities to support the take up of electric and/or low emission vehicles, in accordance with latest best practice, and in particular if part of mitigation identified in line with Development Plan Policy 26: Air Quality.

The Transport Assessment and Travel Plan will need to demonstrate consistency with Core Policy 37: Design and Local Distinctiveness in addition to the sustainable transport priorities identified in Local Plan 2031: Part 1 and other relevant Local Plan policies.

### **DEVELOPMENT POLICY 23: IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT ON AMENITY**

Development proposals should demonstrate that they will not result in significant adverse impacts on the amenity of neighbouring uses when considering both individual and cumulative impacts in relation to the following factors:

- i. loss of privacy, daylight or sunlight
- ii. dominance or visual intrusion

- iii. noise or vibration
- iv. dust, heat, odour, gases or other emissions
- v. pollution, contamination or the use of/or storage of hazardous substances; and
- vi. external lighting.

## DEVELOPMENT POLICY 25: NOISE POLLUTION

### **Noise-Generating Development**

Noise-generating development that would have an impact on environmental amenity or biodiversity will be expected to provide an appropriate scheme of mitigation that should take account of:

- i. the location, design and layout of the proposed development
- ii. existing levels of background noise
- iii. measures to reduce or contain generated noise, and
- iv. hours of operation and servicing.

Development will not be permitted if mitigation cannot be provided within an appropriate design or standard<sup>a</sup>.

### **Noise-sensitive Development**

Noise-sensitive development in locations likely to be affected by existing sources of noise<sup>b</sup> will be expected to provide an appropriate scheme of mitigation to ensure appropriate standards of amenity are achieved for future occupiers of the proposed development, taking account of:

- i. the location, design and layout of the proposed development
- ii. measures to reduce noise within the development to acceptable levels, including external areas, and
- iii. the need to maintain adequate levels of natural light and ventilation to habitable areas of the development.

In areas of existing noise, proposals for noise-sensitive development should be accompanied by an assessment of environmental noise and an appropriate scheme of mitigation measures.

Development will not be permitted if mitigation cannot be provided to an appropriate standard with an acceptable design.

<sup>a</sup>Currently set out in British Standards 4142:2014 and 8233:2014. The Council is currently developing guidance relating to noise mitigation.

<sup>b</sup>Busy roads, railway lines, aerodromes, industrial/commercial developments, waste, recycling and energy plant, and sporting, recreation and leisure facilities.  
Development Policy 24: Noise Pollution.

## DEVELOPMENT POLICY 36: HERITAGE ASSETS

Proposals for new development that may affect heritage assets (designated or non-designated) must demonstrate that they conserve and enhance the special interest or significance of the heritage asset and its setting in accordance with Core Policy 39: (Local Plan 2031 Part 1) and particularly where they:

- i. make a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness and/or
- ii. make a positive contribution towards wider social and economic benefits and/or
- iii. provide a viable future use for a heritage asset that is consistent with the conservation of its significance and/or
- iv. provide a sustainable, non-damaging use for a heritage asset that is currently at risk of neglect, decay or other threats.

Heritage Assets are an irreplaceable resource, and will be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of existing and future generations.

When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight will be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight that will be given). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance.

Any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a designated heritage asset will require clear and convincing justification.

In weighing applications that directly, or indirectly affect non-designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be made having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.

These judgements will be made in accordance with national policy.

Developers will also be expected to report, publish and deposit the results of any investigations into heritage assets with the Historic Environment Record (HER) and the relevant local and county authorities.

#### DEVELOPMENT POLICY 37: CONSERVATION AREAS

Proposals for development within or affecting the setting of a Conservation Area must demonstrate that it will conserve or enhance its special interest, character, setting and appearance. Development will be expected to:

- i. demonstrate that it contributes to the conservation area's special interest and its relationship within its setting
- ii. take into account important views within, into or out of the conservation area and show that these would be retained and unharmed
- iii. respect the local character and distinctiveness of the conservation area in terms of the development's: siting, size, scale, height, alignment, materials and finishes (including colour and texture), proportions, design and form, in

- accordance with the Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document and any relevant Conservation Area Character Appraisal
- iv. be sympathetic to the original curtilage of the dwelling and pattern of development that forms part of the historic interest of the conservation area
  - v. by sympathetic to important spaces such as paddocks, greens, gardens and other gaps or spaces between buildings which make a positive contribution to the pattern of development in the conservation area
  - vi. ensure the wider social and environmental effects generated by the development are compatible with the existing character and appearance of the conservation area, and
  - vii. ensure no loss of or harm to any building or feature that makes a positive contribution to the special interest, character or appearance of the conservation area unless the development would make an equal or greater contribution in terms of public benefit.

Wherever possible the sympathetic conservation or restoration and re-use of structures which make a positive contribution to the special interest, character or appearance of the Conservation Area, will be encouraged to prevent harm through the cumulative loss of features that are an asset to the Conservation Area.

#### DEVELOPMENT POLICY 38: LISTED BUILDINGS

Proposals for additions or alterations to, or the demolition of, a Listed Building (including partial demolition), and/or for development within the curtilage and/or within the setting of, a Listed Building must demonstrate that they will preserve or enhance its special architectural or historic interest and significance.

Proposals directly affecting a Listed Building must demonstrate that they will be sympathetic to the Listed Building and its setting in terms of its siting, size, scale, height, alignment, materials and finishes (including colour and texture), design, form and character, in order to retain the special interest that justifies its designation through appropriate design, in accordance with Core Policy 37 and the Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document.

Proposals within the setting of a Listed Building must demonstrate that they will:

- i. respect, preserve or enhance features that contribute to the special interest and significance of the building, including, where relevant, structures and trees, the historic curtilage or context, such as burgage plots, parkland or fields or its value within a group and/or its setting, such as the importance of a street frontage or traditional shopfronts, designed landscape or historic farmyards.

Proposals for the change of use of a Listed Building or building within its curtilage will be viewed favourably where it can be demonstrated that the new use can be accommodated in a manner appropriate to its significance and historic character without any adverse effect on the special architectural or historic interest of the building and its appearance or character.

#### DEVELOPMENT POLICY 39: ARCHAEOLOGY AND SCHEDULED MONUMENTS

Development will be permitted where it can be shown that it would not be detrimental to the site or setting of a Scheduled Monument or nationally important designated or non-designated archaeological remains.

When researching the development potential of a site, applicants will be expected to undertake an assessment of appropriate detail to determine whether the site is known or is likely to contain archaeological remains and demonstrate how the development proposals have had regard to any such remains.

Where the assessment indicates known archaeological remains on site, and development could disturb or adversely affect important archaeological remains and/or their setting, applicants will be expected to:

- i. submit an appropriate archaeological desk-based assessment or
- ii. undertake a field evaluation (conducted by a suitably qualified archaeological organisation) where necessary.

Nationally important archaeological remains (whether scheduled or demonstrably of equivalent significance) should be preserved in situ. Development proposals that would lead to substantial harm or total loss of significance of such remains will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances where:

- iii. it can be clearly and convincingly demonstrated that the substantial harm or loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss, or all of the circumstances in paragraph 133 of the NPPF apply.

For other archaeological remains, the effect of a development proposal on the significance of the remains, either directly or indirectly, will be taken into account in determining the application. As such assets are also irreplaceable, the presumption will be in favour of the avoidance of harm. The scale of the harm or loss will be weighed against this presumption and the significance of the heritage asset.

Where harm to or loss of significance to the asset is considered to be justified, the harm should be minimised and mitigated by a programme of archaeological investigation, including excavation, recording and analysis. Planning permission will not be granted until this programme has been submitted to, and approved by, the local planning authority, and development should not commence until these works have been satisfactorily undertaken by an appropriately qualified organisation. The results and analysis of findings subsequent to the investigation should be published and made available to the Historic Environment Record (HER) and the relevant local and county authorities.